Before the FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20554

| In the Matter of) | | |
|---|---|----------------------|
| Implementation of the Pay Telephone | ` | CC Docket No. 96-128 |
| Reclassification and Compensation |) | |
| Provisions of the Telecommunications) | | |
| Act of 1996) | | |
|) | | |
| Petition for Rulemaking or, in the |) | |
| Alternative, Petition to Address Referral |) | DA 03-4027 |
| Issues in Pending Rulemaking) | | |

STATEMENT OF INTEREST AND COMMENTS ON ALTERNATIVE RULEMAKING PROPOSAL REGARDING INMATE CALLING SERVICES FILED BY NORTH CAROLINA PRISONER LEGAL SERVICES, INC.

Pursuant to the notice published by the Federal Communications Commission on 2 March 2007, and the FCC Order filed21 March 2007 extending the time for the submission of comments in this proceeding through 2 May 2007, North Carolina Prisoner Legal Services, Inc., submits the following comments in support of the *Alternative Rulemaking Proposal Related to Inmate Calling Services* submitted by Martha Wright, *et al.* (Petitioners) on March 1, 2007.

North Carolina Prisoner Legal Services (NCPLS) is a non-profit, public service law firm that provides legal advice and assistance to people incarcerated in this State. NCPLS addresses matters involving inhumane conditions of confinement or illegal criminal convictions and sentences. Providing North Carolina inmates with information about their legal rights

and responsibilities, NCPLS works to reduce frivolous litigation and to resolve legitimate problems through administrative channels. When serious problems cannot be resolved administratively, NCPLS offers legal representation in all State and Federal courts throughout North Carolina, and beyond. The program has a staff of 37, which includes 17 lawyers, 14 paralegals, and 6 support staff members.

Encompassing some 52,669 square miles, North Carolina incarcerates more than 38,000 people in 78 prisons operated by the North Carolina Department of Correction (NC-DOC). With 100 counties, almost each of which has a jail and/or a municipal lock-up, on any given day an additional 14,000 people are detained pending trial (with some 250,000 annual admissions).

The distance between correctional facilities in North Carolina makes it difficult to communicate with our clients, except by mail.¹ Policies of the NC-DOC discourage telephone communications between prisoners and their clients except "where legal deadlines make a personal visit or correspondence impractical . . . [in which case] attorneys may initiate a request with the Department's legal section for approval to contact inmates/clients by telephone."² Moreover, "All telephone calls will be collect and may be

Unfortunately, approximately 40% of the national prison population is functionally illiterate. The Center on Crime, Communities & Culture, *Education as Crime Prevention: Providing Education to Prisoners*, Research Brief: Occasional Paper Series 2 (Sept. 1997).

² NC Dept. of Correction Inmate Rule Book, 18.(B)(2), p. 23. http://www.doc.state.nc.us/FamilyServices/index.htm (last accessed 12 April 2007).

monitored. . . . Inmates are not allowed to receive telephone calls. Inmate telephone use is a privilege which may be restricted for disciplinary purposes."³ And, pursuant to a contract between the Department of Correction and AT & T, the phone company is paying a 30% commission for public pay phones (in visitation rooms or officers lounges, for example) and a 55% commission for prison pay phones. That means that our clients and their families are paying almost twice as much in commissions as the general public.

Jail and detention facilities throughout the state generally enter into similar contracts with various carriers based upon the promise that the carrier will provide services exclusively in exchange for payment of the highest possible commission (at a financial and emotional cost to prisoners and their families.)

Thus, exorbitant charges impede communication with family and friends, disrupt ties to communities, and inhibit a prisoner's right to petition the courts for the redress of grievances.

With limited resources, and given the excessive cost of prisoner telephone calls, NCPLS declines to accept all but emergency calls. Our inability to accept such calls increases the time it takes to resolve client

http://www.doc.state.nc.us/FamilyServices/index.htm (last accessed 12 April 2007).

³ NC Dept. of Correction Inmate Handbook for Family and Friends, "Can inmates use the Telephone?" at p. 23. This demonstrates the use of telephones by the NC-DOC as a control mechanism on prisoners – both as an incentive and as a punitive measure.

concerns and sometimes engenders litigation simply to preserve our clients' rights.

The record in this case is replete with citations to findings of various studies, correctional agencies, and professional organizations that the maintenance of ties between a prisoner and the family are key to a successful transition into the community after release from prison, and that telephones are essential to the realization of that objective.⁴

But as an important component of both maintenance of order and security in a correctional facility,⁵ and as a tool that diminishes recidivism, telephone usage that entails excessive charges impinges upon these objectives and the ability of prisoners to keep in touch with their families, who are being exploited by monopolistic practices. It is clear that competition and market forces have failed to resolve this long-standing problem, and that prompt remedial action is needed through regulation by the Federal Communications Commission.

CONCLUSION

_

See, e.g., Federal Bureau of Prisons Policy Statement PS5264.06 (Telephone Regulations for Inmates); the National Sheriffs' Association (Resolution of 14 June 1995); The American Correctional Association (ACA), Resolution on Excessive Phone Tarriffs (October 1996); Public Correctional Policy on Inmate/Juvenile Offender Access to Telephone (ACA 2001) and related standards (ACA 2002)(incorporated into standards manuals for 11 types of correctional facilities; and American Bar Association Policy (August 2005); and the report of the Vera Institute of Justice-sponsored Commission on Safety & Abuse In America's Prisons, "Confronting Confinement," pp. 36, 39, passim (June 2006).

⁵ See supra, footnote 2 and accompanying text.

Contracts for telephone services in correctional settings are negotiated and agreed between correctional facilities or entire correctional systems and the carrier. These contracts are exclusive and provide the correctional facilities sources of substantial revenue through "commissions" paid by the carrier. Often, the parties seek no input from, nor give any consideration to the interests of prisoners or the citizens (family members, friends, or attorneys) who will receive and pay for the calls. Prisoners and citizens generally have no choice but to accept the terms agreed upon between the correctional facility and the carrier if they place or accept any prisoner-initiated call.

In this proceeding, the FCC has an opportunity to take meaningful steps to expand the calling options available to inmates and their families (including the imposition of bench-mark rates and an expansion of available services, such as debit and toll-free calls), and to encourage competition in other ways. NCPLS requests that the Commission provide the relief requested in the Alternative *Wright* Petition or, in the alternative, establish the interstate interexchange benchmark, requiring the broadest possible range of calling options.

Respectfully submitted this 27th day of April, 2007.

| <u>s/</u> | | Michael | S. |
|---------------|----|---------|-----------|
| <u>Hamden</u> | | | |
| Michael | S. | Hamden, | Executive |

Director

North Carolina Prisoner Legal

Services, Inc.

224 South Dawson Street Post Office Box 25397 Raleigh, NC 27611 (919) 856-2200 Michael Hamden@ncpls.org

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Michael S. Hamden, hereby certify that a copy of the foregoing Statement of Interest and Comments on Alternative Rulemaking Proposal Regarding to Inmate Calling Services Filed by North Carolina Prisoner Legal Services, Inc.

has been served via email* or by placing the document into an envelope, first-class postage pre-paid, and depositing the envelope into a receptacle for delivery by the United States Postal Service, and addressed as follows:

Pamela Arluk*
Acting Assistant Division Chief
Pricing Policy Division
Wireline Competition Bureau
Federal Communications
Commission
445 12th Street, S.W.
Washington, D.C. 20554

Best Copy and Printing, Inc.*
Portals II
445 12th Street, S.W., Room CYB402
Washington, D.C. 20554

Lynne Hewitt Engledow*
Pricing Policy Division
Wireline Competition Bureau
Federal Communications
Commission
445 12th Street, S.W.
Washington, D.C. 20554

Larry Fenster Kecia Boney Lewis WORLDCOM, Inc. d/b/a MCI 1133 19th Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20036

Andrew D. Lipman Kathy L. Cooper Kathleen G. Ramsey Swidler Berlin, LLP 3000 K Street, N.W., Suite 300 Washington D.C. 20007

Counsel to the Association of Private Correctional and Treatment Organizations

Aaron M. Panner Kellogg, Huber, Hansen, Todd & Evans, PLLC Counsel to T-Netix, Inc. 1615 M Street, N.W., Suite 400 Washington, D.C. 20036

Counsel to the RBOC Payphone Coalition

David C. Bergmann, Chair NASUCA Telecommunications

Committee

Assistant Consumers' Counsel Ohio Consumers' Counsel 12 West Broad Street, Suite 1800 Columbus, OH 43215-3285

Roderic V.O. Boggs, Executive Director Washington Lawyers Committee for Civil

Rights and Urban Affairs 11 Dupont Circle, N.W., Suite 400

Washington, D.C. 20036

Stephen A. Young, Legal Counsel Ohio Dept. of Rehabilitation and Correction 1050 Freeway Drive North, Suite 207 Columbus, OH 43229

Paul C. Besozzi Paggon Boggs LLP 2550 M. Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20037

Counsel to Evercom Systems, Inc.

Glenn B. Manishin Stephanie A. Joyce Kelley Drye & Warren LLP 1200 19th Street, N.W., Suite 500 Washington, D.C. 20036 Albert Lewis*

Acting Division Chief Pricing Policy Division

Wireline Competition Bureau

Federal Communications Commission 445 12th Street, S.W.

Washington, D.C. 20554

Douglas Galbi*

Wireline Competition Bureau

Federal Communications

Commission

445 12th Street, S.W. Washington, D.C. 20554

Mark D. Schneider Anita L. Wallgren Sidley Austin Brown & Wood, LLP 1501 K Street, N.W.

Counsel to Corrections Corp. of America, Inc.

Anthony J. Annucci Deputy Commissioner and Counsel Dept. of NY Correctional Services 1220 Washington Ave. Building 2, Harriman State Campus Albany, NY 12226-2050

Lawrence J. Lafaro Stephen C. Garavito Martha Lewis Marcus AT & T Corporation One AT & T Way Bedminster, NJ 07921

Washington Lawyers' Committee for

Laura K. Abel Stephen G. Seliger Patricia Allard Laurie S. Elkin

Kirsten D. Levingston Seliger & Elkin, Ltd. #500 Kele Williams 155 North Michigan Avenue

Brennan Center for Justice, NYU School ochicago, IL 60601

Law

161 Avenue of the Americas, 12th Floor Barbara J. Olshanksy

New York, NY 10013 Center for Constitutional Rights

666 Broadway, 7th Floor Slizabeth Alexander, Director New York, NY 10012

Elizabeth Alexander, Director New York, N National Prison Project – ACLU

915 15th Street, NW Doane F. Kiechel Seventh Floor Frank W. Krogh

Washington, DC 20005

Jennifer L. Kostyu

Morrison & Foerster, LLP

Charles Sullivan, Executive Director 2000 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.

Kay Perry, Chairperson Suite 5500

Citizens United for Rehabilitation of Erran Sashington, D.C. 20006

Post Office Box 2310

Washington, D.C. 20013 Attorneys for Petitioners Martha Wright,

et al.

Stephen J. Ingley, Executive Director

American Jail Association 1135 Professional Court Hagerstown, MD 21740

Deborah M. Golden

Staff Attorney

D.C. Prisoners' Project

Civil Rights and Urban Affairs11

Duponte Circle, Suit 400

Washington, D.C. 20036

| <u>s/</u> | | Michael | <u>S.</u> |
|---------------|----|---------|-----------|
| <u>Hamden</u> | | | |
| Michael | S. | Hamden, | Executive |

Director

Services, Inc.